### **B D Lee Elementary**

401 Overbrook Drive Gaffney, South Carolina 29341

Grades PK-5 Elementary School

**Enrollment** 418 Students

Principal Mrs. Carlotta Redish 864–489–5748

**Superintendent** Dr. William B. James 864–902–3500

Board Chair Mrs. Sandra B. Greene 864-902-3542

## THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

# <del>2006</del>

# ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

### ABSOLUTE RATING

### AVERAGE

Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

0 6 53 52 7

### IMPROVEMENT RATING

UNSATISFACTORY

### **ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS**

YES

This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

### SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress				
2003	Good	Unsatisfactory	Yes				
2004	Good	Unsatisfactory	Yes				
2005	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes				
2006	Average	Unsatisfactory	Yes				

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

### PERCENT OF STUDENT PACT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING

Percent of students tested in 2005-06 whose 2004-05 test scores were located.

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

**Below Basic** 

94.6%

# English/Language Arts Our School Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Definition of Critical Terms Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations Well prepared to work at next grade level Basic Mathematics Science Social Studies Social Studies Social Studies 17.5 31.8 46.8 17.4 42.9 42.9 17.4 42.9 17.4 42.9 17.4 42.9 17.4 42.9 17.4 42.9 17.4 42.9 17.4 42.9 17.4 42.9 17.4 42.9 17.4 42.9

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy

determines progress to the next grade level

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP									
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced in	Performance Objecting	Participation Objective Mos
	Pay C	/ %	/ % B	/ **	/ %	/ %	% P.n.	\ \a_{\a_{\a_{\a_{\a_{\a_{\a_{\a_{\a_{\a_{	
Englis	,	,	<i>l</i> State Per	<i>l</i> formance	Objective	e = 38.2%	,		
All Students	195	99.5	21.5	44.8	30.2	3.5	47.1	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	89	100.0	25.0	46.3	27.5	1.3	42.5	N/A	N/A
Female	106	99.1	18.5	43.5	32.6	5.4	51.1	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	69	100.0	11.7	38.3	43.3	6.7	58.3	Yes	Yes
African American	114	100.0	23.5	51.0	23.5	2.0	42.2	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	10	90.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	181	99.4	18.2	46.5	31.4	3.8	49.7	N/A	N/A
Disabled	14	100.0	61.5	23.1	15.4	0.0	15.4	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status		,				,		,	
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	195	99.5	21.5	44.8	30.2	3.5	47.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	12	91.7	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	30.0	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	183	100.0	19.1	46.3	30.9	3.7	48.1	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	149	99.3	23.3	46.5	27.9	2.3	44.2	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	46	100.0	16.3	39.5	37.2	7.0	55.8	N/A	N/A

Mathematics – State Performance Objective = 36.7%									
All Students	195	100.0	22.0	39.3	24.9	13.9	52.0	Yes	Yes
Gender									
Male	89	100.0	23.8	42.5	22.5	11.3	47.5	N/A	N/A
Female	106	100.0	20.4	36.6	26.9	16.1	55.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	69	100.0	6.7	38.3	31.7	23.3	73.3	Yes	Yes
African American	114	100.0	31.4	40.2	19.6	8.8	39.2	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	10	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	181	100.0	18.8	40.6	25.6	15.0	55.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	14	100.0	61.5	23.1	15.4	0.0	15.4	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	195	100.0	22.0	39.3	24.9	13.9	52.0	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	12	100.0	18.2	36.4	36.4	9.1	54.5	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	183	100.0	22.2	39.5	24.1	14.2	51.9	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	149	100.0	26.9	39.2	20.8	13.1	45.4	Yes	Yes
Full-pay meals	46	100.0	7.0	39.5	37.2	16.3	72.1	N/A	N/A

2 2 200 Ziemerkary							10/00/00 11	ř
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRO	)UP							ı
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing		% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	/
NI O	105		ience	05.5	0.7	7.0	45.7	
All Students	195	99.5	48.8	35.5	8.7	7.0	15.7	
Gender	00	400.0	40.0	07.5	0.0	7.5	40.0	
Male	89	100.0	48.8	37.5	6.3	7.5	13.8	
Female	106	99.1	48.9	33.7	10.9	6.5	17.4	
Racial/Ethnic Group		400.0	26.7	40.0	40.0	44.7	20.0	
White African American	69	100.0 100.0	61.8	43.3 30.4	18.3 2.9	11.7 4.9	30.0 7.8	
	1114	100.0		30.4 I/S	2.9 I/S	4.9 I/S	7.8 I/S	
Asian/Pacific Islander	10		I/S		1/S 1/S			
Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	90.0 N/A	I/S	I/S N/A	., -	I/S N/A	I/S N/A	
Disability Status	I N/A	IN/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	IN/A	IN/A	
Not Disabled	181	99.4	45.9	37.1	9.4	7.5	17.0	
Disabled	14	100.0	84.6	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Migrant Status	14	100.0	04.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Migrant	195	99.5	48.8	35.5	8.7	7.0	15.7	
English Proficiency	190	33.3	40.0	33.3	0.7	7.0	13.7	
Limited English Proficient	12	91.7	50.0	40.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	
Non-Limited English Proficient	183	100.0	48.8	35.2	8.6	7.4	16.0	
Socio-Economic Status	100	100.0	10.0	00.2	0.0	7.1	10.0	
Subsidized meals	149	99.3	56.6	31.0	7.0	5.4	12.4	
Full-pay meals	46	100.0	25.6	48.8	14.0	11.6	25.6	
		Socia	Studies					
All Students	195	99.5	31.4	47.1	14.0	7.6	21.5	
Gender								
Male	89	100.0	30.0	47.5	17.5	5.0	22.5	
Female	106	99.1	32.6	46.7	10.9	9.8	20.7	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	69	100.0	21.7	46.7	16.7	15.0	31.7	

		Socia	Studies				
All Students	195	99.5	31.4	47.1	14.0	7.6	21.5
Gender							
Male	89	100.0	30.0	47.5	17.5	5.0	22.5
Female	106	99.1	32.6	46.7	10.9	9.8	20.7
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	69	100.0	21.7	46.7	16.7	15.0	31.7
African American	114	100.0	35.3	49.0	11.8	3.9	15.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	100.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	10	90.0	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disability Status							
Not Disabled	181	99.4	27.7	49.1	15.1	8.2	23.3
Disabled	14	100.0	76.9	23.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	195	99.5	31.4	47.1	14.0	7.6	21.5
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	12	91.7	50.0	30.0	20.0	0.0	20.0
Non-Limited English Proficient	183	100.0	30.2	48.1	13.6	8.0	21.6
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	149	99.3	33.3	48.1	11.6	7.0	18.6
Full-pay meals	46	100.0	25.6	44.2	20.9	9.3	30.2

	PERFORMA	NCE BY GRA	DE I EVEL					10/30/06 110101:
	$G_{rad_{\Theta}}$	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing		% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced
	2	50	100.0	English/Lar	iguage Arts	F0.0	0.4	C4.7
_	3 4	50 72	100.0 100.0	21.3 23.5	17.0 51.5	59.6 23.5	2.1 1.5	61.7 25.0
5	5	64	100.0	20.0	55.0	23.3	1.7	25.0
e e	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
67	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	71	100.0	13.1	44.3	36.1	6.6	42.6
	4	54	98.1	29.5	45.5	25.0	0.0	25.0
Õ	5	70	100.0	23.9	44.8	28.4	3.0	31.3
_2 <u>_</u>	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
_	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
					matics			
	3	50	100.0	10.6	61.7	21.3	6.4	27.7
LC	4 5	72 64	100.0 100.0	19.1 25.0	33.8	36.8 23.3	10.3 10.0	47.1 33.3
18	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	41.7 N/A	23.3 N/A	N/A	N/A
2	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
_	3	71	100.0	18.0	39.3	19.7	23.0	42.6
_	4	54	100.0	28.9	31.1	31.1	8.9	40.0
9	5	70	100.0	20.9	44.8	25.4	9.0	34.3
ĕ	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
67	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
				Scie	ence			
	3	50	100.0	48.9	40.4	8.5	2.1	10.6
LO	4	72	100.0	45.6	32.4	17.6	4.4	22.1
9	5	64	100.0	58.3	25.0	10.0	6.7	16.7
-2 -	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	7 8	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A
_			N/A		N/A			N/A
	3	71	100.0	55.7	29.5	8.2	6.6	14.8
9	4 5	54 70	98.1 100.0	50.0 41.8	31.8 43.3	13.6 6.0	4.5 9.0	18.2 14.9
18	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	43.3 N/A	N/A	9.0 N/A	N/A
7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
-	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
					Studies			
	3	50	100.0	25.5	61.7	12.8	0.0	12.8
10	4	72	100.0	23.5	55.9	17.6	2.9	20.6
8	5	64	100.0	31.7	46.7	15.0	6.7	21.7
22	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	3	71	100.0	23.0	47.5	21.3	8.2	29.5
9	4	54	98.1	38.6	45.5	13.6	2.3	15.9
	5	70	100.0	34.3	47.8	7.5	10.4	17.9
7	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School
Students (n= 418)				
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	100.0%	No change	100.0%	100.0%
Retention rate	1.3%	Up from 0.2%	3.5%	2.8%
Attendance rate	96.8%	Down from 97.2%	96.3%	96.4%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level	0.0%	No change	0.1%	0.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	8.3%	Down from 11.3%	6.9%	10.4%
On academic plans	41.9%	N/AV	44.0%	33.6%
On academic probation	0.0%	N/AV	0.5%	1.0%
With disabilities other than speech	2.3%	Up from 1.6%	8.5%	7.5%
Older than usual for grade	0.3%	Down from 0.5%	1.2%	0.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 29)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	69.0%	Down from 76.9%	51.3%	53.8%
Continuing contract teachers	N/AV		N/AV	N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	3.7%	N/A	2.6%	2.4%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	91.3%	Up from 86.4%	87.0%	87.3%
Teacher attendance rate	95.5%	Up from 94.7%	94.9%	94.9%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$44,508 17.0 days	Down 2.3% Up from 11.2 days	\$41,807 13.2 days	\$42,485 13.3 days
School	17.0 days	op nom 11.2 days	13.2 days	13.3 uays
	07.0	Lu 6 00 0	0.0	4.0
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	27.0 20.7 to 1	Up from 26.0 Up from 20.5 to 1	3.0 17.7 to 1	4.0 18.6 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.5%	Up from 89.8%	89.7%	89.7%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,392	Down 2.1%	\$6,849	\$6,557
Percent of expenditures for teacher	66.0%	Down from 70.1%	63.4%	64.0%
salaries*	00.070	Down nom 70.170	00.470	04.070
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	68.7%		69.0%	69.1%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	Down from 99.2%	99.0%	99.0%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development	Average	Down from Good	Excellent	Excellent

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

		Our District	S	tate
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teached	ers	0.0%	6	.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teach	2.8%	10	0.2%	
	Stat	e Objective	Met State	Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school	0.0%		No	0
Student attendance in this school	94.0%*		Ye	es

<sup>\*</sup>or greater than last year

### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The School Improvement Council of B. D. Lee School has worked together with the staff, parents and community volunteers to promote the beliefs and mission as stated in the study conducted for accreditation with the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools.

The five-year plan was developed in the fall of 2001 and was approved for implementation in the spring of 2002. The plan contains an action plan to support the desired results for student learning at B. D. Lee School. The plan contains strategies to support three target areas: Learning-to-Learn, Thinking and Reasoning, and Personal and Social Responsibility.

During the 2005-2006 school year, a variety of instructional methods were utilized to strengthen and expand the curriculum to meet the needs of our students. Staff development was conducted on the following: Guided Reading, Writing, Comprehension-Read Aloud-Independent Reading, and Word Study. Measurement of Academic Progress (MAP) tests were administered to assist all 3-5 grade students in reading and math.

We are proud of two outstanding teachers who helped to promote the profession: Mrs. Mary Brenda Wood, Teacher of the Year, and Ms. Geraldine Spencer, Reading Teacher of the Year

B. D. Lee received national recognition as required by the No Child Left Behind Legislation for achieving AYP (Adequate Yearly Progress). The U.S. Department of Education honored schools based on achievement test scores.

The state named B. D. Lee a Red Carpet School. The Red Carpet initiative recognizes schools with outstanding customer service and family-friendly environments.

- B. D. Lee has been a Very Best Volunteers school of the Nestle Frozen Food Company for several years. This very important partnership enables employees to serve as mentors, pen pals, role model readers, and resource speakers.
- B. D. Lee will continue to provide an educational program that promotes the progress of pupils with various abilities, aspirations and goals, while adapting to the changing character of the school population.

Dr. Bertha T. Harris, Principal Mrs. Michelle Grant, Chairman of Improvement Council

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	31	67	57
Percent satisfied with learning environment	100.0%	95.4%	91.1%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	100.0%	86.2%	85.7%
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	93.5%	94.0%	89.3%

<sup>\*</sup>Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and their parents were included.